

UNITE	D STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC
	Almandria, Virgoria 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,478	06/29/2001	Christian Speth	P/3781-4	8170
	990 06/02/2004	EXAMINER		
DICKSTEIN: 2101 L STREE	SHAPIRO MORIN &	LANGEL WAYNE A		
	N, DC 20037-1526		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMESSING OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARCS Westington, D.C. 20231 DINVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ART UNT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	
This application has been examined Responsive to communication	meeth(s) - days from the date of this letter
Faaum to respond within the period for response will cause the application to be	come abandoned 35 U.S.C. 133
Pert 1 THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	
Notice of References Clad by Examiner, PTC-592 Notice of Art Clad by Applicant, PTC-1449 Information on How to Effect Osswing Changes, PTC-1474.	Notice of Draftsmans: Petent Drawing Review, PTO-84 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-162 G.
Pert E SUMMARY OF ACTION	. 1
1. X Claims /- 5 and 7	are pending in the application
Of the above, claims	are withdrawn from consideration
2. Cterns	have been cancelled
3 Claims	are allowed
3 □ Ctains 4 B Ctains	are rejected.
5 Calve	are objected to.
s. Clutra	ure subject to restriction or election requirement
7. This application has been blad with informal drawings under 37 C P R	1.65 which are acceptable for examination purposes
g. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.	
g. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on	
 The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on are	etsman's Potent Drawing Review, PTO-945)
10. The proposed additional or substitute sheat(s) of drawings, filed on	has (have) been Dapproved by the
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed has	been approved, disapproved (see explanation)
12. Advnowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 1 Deen filed in parent application, senal no	15. The certified copy has. □ been received. □ not been receive fied on
 Since the application appears to be in condition for allowance exceptions accordance with the practice under Exiparie Quayle, 1905 C D. 11; 45 	t for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in is O-G. 213.
14. Chur	

Application/Control Number: 09/830,478
Art Unit: 1754

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this table, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been orbivous at the time invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentapitity shall not be regatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1.7.8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murayama et al in view of Lee'891, for the reasons given in the Office Action mailed February 10, 2004, Applicant's argument, that Murayama et al does not teach or suggest an ammonia preparation process that includes circulating a cooling agent within cooling tubes concentrically surrounding respective catalyst tubes, is not convincing. Murayama et al disclose at col. 4, lines 53-55 that the cooling medium is introduced through a tube 19 to the inside of the shell 10 of the reactor. It is clear from Fig. 1 of Murayama et al that the cooling medium would surround reaction tubes 6, since the cooling medium is present in the space between tubes 6 and gas collecting tube 15. The area in shell 10 surrounding catalyst tubes 6 would be tantamount to "tubes". In any event, it would be obvious to surroundreaction tubes 6 of Murayama et al with cooling tubes, since one of ordinary skill in the art recognize that the essential teaching of Murayama et al is that the cooling medium surround reaction tubes 6, and that this could be accomplished in any known or conventional manner, such as by surrounding the reaction tubes with cooling tubes.

Claims 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murayama et al in view of Lee '891 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Lee '582. Lee '582 is relied upon as discussed in the Office Action mailed February 10, 2004.

Claims 3, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murayama et al in view of Lee '991 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of either Pinto or Porter. Pinto and Porter are relied upon as discussed in the Office Action mailed February 10, 2004.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Wayne Langel at telephone number 571-272-1353.

Wayne A. Jango Wayne Langel Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754